Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 64 (1974) 19 – 24 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne -- Printed in The Netherlands

REACTIONS OF FLUORINATED CYCLOBUTENES WITH GRIGNARD REAGENTS*

J.D. PARK**, T.S. CROFT*** and R.W. ANDERSON Chemistry Department, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80302 (U.S.A.) (Received April 27th, 1973)

Summary

The reaction of an alkylmagnesium bromide with perfluorocyclobutene has been found to favor the formation of a vinylic monoalkyl product whereas an alkylmagnesium chloride favored the synthesis of a vinylic dialkyl compound. Increased branching at the α - or β -carbon of the isomeric butyl Grignard reagents caused a shift to the vinylic monoalkyl product and to reduced yields, especially with increased α -branching. Unsymmetrical vinylic dialkylperfluorocyclobutenes are reported for the first time.

Introduction

The reactions of fluorinated cyclobutenes with Grignard reagents have been under study at this laboratory for a number of years as a result of the first report of reactions in these systems by Park and Fontanelli [2]. Subsequent papers discussed the exchange reactions [3,4] and the substitution and rearrangement products [5] noted with Grignard reagents. The carbanienic nature of the carbon—magnesium bond would lead to the supposition that these reactions would follow the course of other nucleophiles with halogenated cyclobutenes, as recently summarized by Park, McMurtry and Adams [6]. This review also listed some of the factors which might be involved in directing the Grignard reaction pathway in a manner other than that predicted by the usual mechanistic interpretation of these nucleophilic substitutions. One of the possible factors considered to affect the course of reaction was steric bulk which has been cited to rationalize the results of metal hydride reductions [7] and the reaction of trialkyl phosphites [8] with halogenated cycloalkenes. The

^{*} Taken in part from ref. 1.

^{**} Present address: Korea Advanced Institute of Science, P.O. Box 150, Cheong-Ryang-Ri, Seoul, Korea,

^{***} To whom inquires should be sent. Address correspondence to Central Research Laboratories, 3M Co. St. Paul, Minnesota, 55133.

present paper reports on the effect of branching at the α - or β -carbon and variation of the halogen of the alkylmagnesium halides on the reaction with perfluorocyclobutene.

Although both the composition of Grignard reagents and the mechanism by which they react with organic functional groups remain in doubt, the question of the composition has been reviewed by Ashby [9] and the general mechanism by Salinger [10]. Any modification of either the halogen or alkyl portion of the Grignard compound would be expected to change not only the Lewis acid character of the complex itself but also to affect sterically the approach of the nucleophilic center to the fluoroalkene. The influence of solvent has remained constant since only tetrahydrofuran was utilized in this study.

Results and discussion

The results of the reaction of perfluorocyclobutene with the methyl or

Grignard	Relative amounts of mono- and dialkyl products		Total yield (%)
CH ₃ MgBr	$F_{2} \longrightarrow CH_{3}$ $F_{2} \longrightarrow F$ $G.7 (II)^{b}$	$ \begin{array}{c} F_2 \\ F_2 \\ F_2 \\ CH_3 \\ C.3 (III)^{b} \end{array} $	18
CH3MgCI	O.O (II)	1.0 (Ⅲ)	21
i – PrMgBr	$F_{2} \square CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ $F_{2} \square F$ 1.0 (12)	$F_{2} \qquad CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ $F_{2} \qquad CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ $O.0 (\underline{\nabla})$	10
i-PrMgCl	0.0 (IV)	1.0 (又)	10
n-EuMgBr	$F_{2} \longrightarrow F_{2} CH_{2}(CH_{2})_{2}CH_{3}$ $F_{2} \longrightarrow F$ $O.4 (\overline{VI})^{b}$	F ₂ F ₂ CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₃ CH ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₃ 0.6 (VII) ^b	97
i – BuMgBr	F ₂ F ₂ O.9 (VIII)	$F_{2} \qquad CH_{2}CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ $F_{2} \qquad CH_{2}CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ $O.1 (IX)$	92
s-BuMgBr	$F_{2} \longrightarrow CH(CH_{3})CH_{2}CH_{3}$ $F_{2} \longrightarrow F$ 1.0 (X)		24

TABLE 1	
---------	--

REACTIONS WITH PERFLUOROCYCLOBUTENE (1)^a

^a Prepared by method of Henne and Ruh [11].^b Synthesis previously described by Dixon [12].

isopropyl Grignard reagents, summarized in Table 1, indicated that the nature of the halogen atom of the alkylmagnesium halide caused marked differences in the relative amounts of monoalkyl and dialkyl vinylic substitution produced. For example, exclusive formation of the monoalkyl product, 1-isopropyl-2, 3,3,4,4-pentafluorocyclobutene, (IV), was noted with isopropylmagnesium bromide, whereas only the dialkyl compound, 1,2-diisopropyl-3,3,4,4-tetrafluorocyclobutene, (V), was found with isopropylmagnesium chloride under similar conditions. The nature of the halogen atom of the alkylmagnesium halide has been reported to affect other Grignard reactions [13] although Holm [14] indicated that the reactivity of a Grignard reagent in tetrahydrofuran did not depend greatly on the nature of the halogen, a result in marked contrast to that seen when ether was employed.

TABLE 2

REACTION OF ALKYL GRIGNARDS WITH MONOALKYL PERFLUOROCYCLOBUTENES

Cyclobutene ^a	Grignard	Product	Compd.	Yield (%)
F ₂ CH ₃ F ₂ F	PrMgBr	$ F_2 \qquad CH_3 \\ F_2 \qquad CH_2CH_2CH_3 $	(XI)	15
$F_2 \longrightarrow CH_2CH_3$ $F_2 \longrightarrow F$	PrMgBr	F ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃ F ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	(XII)	35
F ₂ CH ₃ F ₂ F	i – PrMgBr		(XIII)	4
$F_2 \longrightarrow CH_2CH_3$ $F_2 \longrightarrow F$	i – PrMgBr		(XIV)	40
$ \begin{array}{c} F_2 \\ F_2 \\ F_2 \end{array} F_2 \\ F_2 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 $	i – PrMgBr	F ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₃ F ₂ CH(CH ₃) ₂	(XV)	98 .
$F_2 \longrightarrow CH_3$ $F_2 \longrightarrow F$	EtMgBr	F ₂ F ₂ CH ₂ CH ₃	(XVI)	75
$F_2 \longrightarrow CH_2CH_3$ $F_2 \longrightarrow F$	EtMgBr	$ \begin{array}{c} F_2 \\ F_2 \\ F_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} CH_2 CH_3 \\ CH_2 CH_3 \end{array} $	(XVII) ^b	50
$F_2 \longrightarrow CH_2CH_3$ $F_2 \longrightarrow F$	s-BuMgBr	F ₂ СН ₂ СН ₃ F ₂ СН(СН ₃)СН ₂ СН	(XVIII) 3	20

^a Prepared by the method of Fark and Fontanelli [2]. ^b Synthesis previously described by Park and Fontanelli [2].

As summarized in Table 1, a distinct trend to lower total conversions and to monoalkyl products was obtained with the isomeric butyl Grignard reagents, with the sec-butylmagnesium bromide leading exclusively to the monoalkyl product, 1-sec-butyl-2,3,3,4,4-pentafluorocyclobutene, (X), in reduced yield. A similar decreased yield found with various aliphatic Grignard reagents was correlated with the increased electron releasing ability of the alkyl residue [15]. which is consistent with decreasing carbanion stability [16]. However, the present study indicated that there was a large change in the relative amounts of the mono- and dialkyl products formed from the n-butyl and isobutyl Grignard compounds although both involve primary carbanionic species. A recent paper has invoked steric considerations to explain differences in reactivity of Grignard compounds as the organic ligand varied [17].

Table 2 details the results of the reactions of Grignard reagents with vinylic monoalkyl perfluorocyclobutenes. Note that the yield of 1-methyl-2-npropyl-3,3,4,4-tetrafluorocyclobutene, (XI), increased over that found for 1ethyl-2-n-propyl-3,3,4,4-tetrafluorocyclobutene, (XII), although the Grignard reagent was the same in both cases. An even more dramatic increase in yield occurred with the isopropyl Grignard compound in the formation of compounds (XIII), (XIV) and (XV) produced from the vinylic methyl, ethyl and n-butyl perfluorocyclobutene, respectively.

The data collected in Table 2 do reflect a decrease in the yield of the dialest products, compounds (XVI), (XI) and (XIII), from the vinylic methyl cyclobulene, (II), with ethyl-, n-propyl- and isopropylmagnesium bromides. Therefore, this trend is similar to the previously described reduction in yield involving the isomeric butyl Grignard reagents and perfluorocyclobutene. Generally, decreasing yields are also observed in the formation of compounds (XVII), (XII), (XIV) and (XVIII) from 1-ethyl-2,3,3,4,4-pentafluorocyclobutene as the Grignard reagent changes from ethyl-, n-propyl-, isopropyl, and sec-butylmagnesium bromide.

Experimental

TABLE 3

The infrared spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer Infracord spectro-

Compd.	ⁿ D ²⁵	d ²⁵	B.p. (°C/630 mm)	Analyses, found (calcd.) (%)			
<u> </u>				с	н	F	
(IV)	1.3433	1.19	77—79	45.5 (45.2)	3.9 (3.7)	50.7 (50.5)	
(V)	1.3892	1.05	155-156	57.1 (57.4)	6.8 (6.7)	36.0 (36.2)	
(VIII)	1.3509	1.19	101-101.5	47,8 (48.0)	4.6 (4.5)	47.5 (47.5)	
(IX)	1.3983	1.08	187-188	58.5 (58.1)	7.4 (7.3)	30.9 (30.7)	
(X)	1.3522	1.17	98-100	48.0 (48.0)	4.7 (4.5)	47.3 (47.5)	
(XI)	1.3700	1.11	138	52.7 (52.8)	5.3 (5.6)	41.6 (41.8)	
(XII)	1.3845	1.09	150	54.8 (55.1)	6.4 (6.1)	38.5 (38.8)	
(XIII)	1,3716	1.11	129-130	52.8 (52.8)	5.3 (5.5)	41.8 (41.7)	
(XIV)	1.3831	1.08	144-145	55.0 (55.1)	6.1 (6.1)	38.5 (38.8)	
(XV)	1.3894	1.06	159-161	57.0 (57.4)	6.7 (6.7)	36.3 (36.2)	
(XVI)	1.3611	1.14	121	49.9 (50.0	5.0 (4.8)	45.3 (45.2)	
(XVIII)	1.3898	1.04	163-164	57.1 (57.3)	6.9 (6.7)	36.4 (36.2)	

photometer, and NMR spectra were obtained from a Varian Model A-60, using carbon tetrachloride as solvent and tetramethylsilane as reference [18]. Product analyses and preparative scale separations were carried out on a F and M Model 300 programmed temperature gas chromatograph, with a Texas Instruments Inc. Servariter model recorder with a disc integrator, utilizing a column with a fluorosilicon 1265 (QF-1) substrate. A Bausch and Lomb refractometer was used to measure the refractive indices. Microanalyses were performed by the Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, Tenn. Densities were determined by weight difference with a calibrated 10μ l syringe.

Reaction of perfluorocyclobutene (I) with isobutylmagnesium bromide

A 1000 ml three-neck flask, fitted with a gas inlet tube, a mechanical stirrer, and a Dry-Ice condenser connected to a bubbler, was swept with nitrogen gas and 500 ml of 2.2 M isobutylmagnesium bromide in tetrahydrofuran introduced and cooled to 0°. Over a period of 1 h, 81 g of perfluorocyclobutene was bubbled into the rapidly stirred solution. The temperature was allowed to rise to room temperature, refluxed for 3 h, and finally stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction product was worked up by destroying the excess Grignard reagent with dilute hydrochloric acid, extracting with tetrahydrofuran, and drying with anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Distillation gave 44 g (84%) of 1-isobutyl-2,3,3,4,4-pentafluorocyclobutene, (VIII), and 10 g (8%) of 1,2-diisobutyl-3,3,4,4-tetrafluorocyclobutene, (IX).

The preparations of the other derivatives in general followed along similar lines. For those reactions carried out with perfluorocyclobutene, the molar ratio of Grignard reagent to the fluoroalkene was normally 2.1 to 2.2. In those

Compd.	IR, $C=C$	NMR		
	(cm ⁻¹)	$\tau \text{ (ppm)}^a$	Coupling (Hz)	
(IV)	1720	8.77 d	7.0	
		7.38 m		
(V)		8.79 d	6.9	
		7.23 septet		
(VIII)	1730	9.00 d	6.5	
		7.89, 8.0 broad, m		
(IX)		9.03 d	6.4	
		7.90, 8.03 broad, m		
(X)	1720	9.03 t	6.6	
		8.78 d	7.0	
		7.58, 8.44 m		
(XIV)		8.83 d	7.0	
		8.88 t	7.0	
		7.4, 7.79 m		
(XV)		9.03 t	7.0	
		8.80 d	7.0	
		8.40 m		
		7.79, 7.35 m		
(XVIII)		8.84 t	7.6	
		9.08 t	6.6	
		8.82 d	6.6	
		8.49, 7.69 m		

TABLE 4 SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF FLUOROCYCLOBUTENES

a TMS = 10.0.

cases where the monoalkyl-substituted perfluorocyclobutene was added to the tetrahydrofuran Grignard solution, a water condenser could be substituted for the Dry-Ice condenser and a dropping funnel for the gas inlet tube. Here, a two-fold molar ratio of Grignard reagent to monoalkyl cyclobutene was used. The physical properties of the new vinylic alkyl perfluorocyclobutenes are tabulated in Table 3, along with spectral properties in Table 4.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank AFOSR Chemical Division and the 3M Company, St. Paul, Minnesota, for their support of this work.

References

- 1 T.S. Croft, PhD dissertation, 1967, University of Colorado;
- R.W. Anderson, PhD dissertation, 1965, University of Colorado.
- 2 J.D. Park and R. Fontanelli, J. Org. Chem., 28 (1963) 258.
- 3 R. Sullivan, J.R. Lacher and J.D. Park, J. Org. Chem., 29 (1964) 3664.
- 4 J.D. Park and R.J. McMurtry, Tetrahedron Lett., (1967) 1301.
- 5 J.D. Park, R. Sullivan and R.J. McMurtry, Tetrahedron Lett., (1967) 173.
- 6 J.D. Park, R.J. McMurtry and J.H. Adams, in P. Tarrant (Ed.), Fluorine Chemistry Reviews, Vol. 2, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1968, pp. 55 - 75.
- 7 D.J. Burton and R.L. Johnson, Tetrahedron Lett., (1966) 2681.
- 8 J.D. Park and O.K. Furuta, Tetrahedron Lett., (1969) 393.
- 9 E.C. Ashby, Quart. Rev., 21 (1967) 259;
- F.W. Walker and E.C. Ashby, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 3845. 10 R.M. Salinger, in A.F. Scott (Ed.), Survey in Progress in Chemistry, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New
- York, 1963, pp. 301 324.
- 11 A.L. Henne and R.P. Ruh, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 69 (1947) 279.
- 12 S. Dixon, J. Org. Chem., 21 (1956) 400.
- 13 H.J. Shine, J. Chem. Soc., (1951) 8; M. Andrac, C.R. Acad. Sci., 252 (1961) 756; D.O. Cowan and H.S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 27 (1962) 1; H. Thies, H. Schoenenberger and A. Zeller, Arch. Pharm., 298 (1965) 26; A. Schaap and J.F. Arens, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 87 (1968) 1249; M. Pedaukssaut and H. Coudane, C.R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 269 (1969) 567.
- 14 T. Holm, Tetrahedron Lett., (1966) 3329.
- 15 P. Tarrant and D.A. Warner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 76 (1954) 1624.
- 16 D.J. Cram, Fundamentals of Carbanion Chemistry, Academic Press, New York, 1965, p. 20.
- 17 T. Holm, Acta. Chem. Scand., 23 (1969) 579.
- 18 G.V.D. Tiers, J. Phys. Chem., 62 (1958) 1151.